

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/621,888 07/16/2003 Dennis N. Bingham LIT-Pi-402A.D1.C1.D1 3067 EXAMINER 7590 08/24/2004 Stephen R. Christian HARRIS, KATRINA B BBWI ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PO BOX 1625 IDAHO FALLS, ID 83415-3899

3747
DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/621,888	BINGHAM ET AL.	
Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Katrina B. Harris	3747	
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da  - If the period for reply specified above is les  - If NO period for reply is specified above, th  - Failure to reply within the set or extended	COMMUNICATION.  r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  te of this communication.  ss than thirty (30) days, a reply ne maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on 16 Ju	lv 2003		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	· ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allo 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subjecte	is/are withdraw wed. d. ected to.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected	ed to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
		lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	• •	
11) The oath or declaration is		on is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) I  1. Certified copies of the certified application from the	None of: he priority documents he priority documents ed copies of the priori International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applicati	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		•		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/16/04</u>.</li> </ul>		Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 10/621,888

Art Unit: 3747

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following is a first action on the merits of application serial no. 10/621,888 filed July 16, 2003.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,619,273 and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,494,191. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method set forth is inherently performed by the

Art Unit: 3747

apparatus claimed in the patent such that normal use of the apparatus would infringe method claims 1 and 5.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,619,273 and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,494,191, respectively, as stated above and further in view of Ishikawa et al (4,483,303). It would further had been obvious to selected from a group including propane and butane as taught by Ishikawa et al. to provide a suitable fuel for operating the engine.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. .U.S. Patent No. 5,542,398 issued to Marcon is a similar system. U.S. Patent No. 5,678,524 issued to Ofner et al. is a similar valve having two chambers.

### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katrina B. Harris whose telephone number is 703-308-8323. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 AM -2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,888

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KBH KBAL

Andrew M. Dolinar Primary Examiner

de L. 54. O

Page 4